

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
6

7
8 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
9 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
10 LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

11
12 **[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING**
13 **DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS CASES**
14 **FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PTO 31**
15

16 This Document Relates to:

17 *CL 169 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
18 No. 3:25-cv-04672-CRB

19 *NLG (K.T.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
20 *al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07259-CRB

21 *LS 635 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
22 No. 3:25-cv-07376-CRB

23 *LS 636 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
24 No. 3:25-cv-07381-CRB

25 *NLG (J.D.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
26 *al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07482-CRB

27 *NLG (T.P.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*
28 *al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07484-CRB

LS 639 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:25-cv-07616-CRB

LS 14 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:25-cv-07844-CRB

C.B. 1244 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:25-cv-07853-CRB

LS 15 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:25-cv-07862-CRB

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO
DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH PTO 31

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

1
2 *LS 646 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
3 No. 3:25-cv-08086-CRB,

4 *K.A. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No.
5 3:25-cv-08120-CRB,

6 *CL 212 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
7 No. 3:25-cv-08470-CRB,

8 *Brimlett v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
9 No. 3:25-cv-08665-CRB, and

10 *JLG 180 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
11 No. 3:25-CV-08985.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO 31 (the "Motion"), the Court finds that the Plaintiffs subject to Defendants' Motion, identified in Exhibit A hereto, have violated Pretrial Order No. 31 and caused prejudice to Uber.

The Court therefore hereby ORDERS as follows:

1. The claims of the Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit A to this Order are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE pursuant to Amended PTO No. 31 (ECF No. 3877).
2. Plaintiffs' counsel shall file notices of dismissal within 14 days of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2026

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Court Judge

EXHIBIT A

MDL ID	Case Number	Plaintiff
1244	3:25-cv-07853	C.B. 1244
3549	3:25-cv-04672	CL 169
3946	3:25-cv-07259	NLG (K.T.)
3954	3:25-cv-07482	NLG (J.D.)
3955	3:25-cv-07484	NLG (T.P.)
3969	3:25-cv-07381	LS 636
3972	3:25-cv-07616	LS 639
3978	3:25-cv-07376	LS 635
4058	3:25-cv-07844	LS 14
4059	3:25-cv-07862	LS 15
4066	3:25-cv-08086	LS 646
4080	3:25-cv-08120	K.A.
4206	3:25-cv-08470	CL 212
4215	3:25-cv-08665	Brimlett, Stacey
4276	3:25-cv-08985	JLG 180